

Assignee: Intel Corporation

REMARKS

Claims 21-40 are pending. Claims 21-40 have been rejected.

Claims 21 and 27 have been amended.

No new matter has been added.

Reconsideration and reexamination of the above-referenced patent application, is respectfully requested.

35 USC § 102 - Motoyama

Claims 21 and 22 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,383,138 to Motoyama, et al. ("Motoyama").

Claim 21 recites in part:

"a keyboard tray including a wireless keyboard, the keyboard tray coupled to a stand, the keyboard tray slide-able into or out of the stand, wherein the stand is coupled to a housing at proximally a bottom edge of the housing and foldable relative to the housing, and wherein the housing includes a display screen and a carrying handle."

(Emphasis added).

Motoyama teaches a system that includes a keyboard portion attached to a display housing portion. The keyboard portion and the display housing portion may spread to the front and may be retracted so that the keyboard portion may overlap the display housing portion. The keyboard portion, however, is not detachable from the display housing portion. In addition, the display housing portion is supported by and connected to a cover portion toward its top edge. (Figures 1-3). Motoyama, however, does not teach a wireless keyboard or the housing being coupled to a stand at its bottom edge, as claimed in claim 21.

P17700

Serial No. 10/663,169

PAGE 11/14 * RCVD AT 12/9/2005 12:13:39 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 * DNI:2738300 * CSID:4082744778 * DURATION (mm:ss):04:00

Assignee: Intel Corporation

Applicants submit that the 102(b) rejection has been overcome and claim 21 is patentable over Motoyama. Since claims 22-26 depend from and further limit claim 21, applicants submit that they are also patentable over Motoyama.

35 USC § 102 – Motoyama & Ledbetter

Claims 23-40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motoyama in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0240167 to Ledbetter, et al. ("Ledbetter").

Applicants submit that, at least for the reason presented above for claim 21, claims 23-26 are patentable over Motoyama & Ledbetter.

Claim 27 recites in part:

"a wireless telephone handset coupled to the first communication interface to be used for voice over Internet protocol (VoIP) calls, wherein the wireless telephone handset is adapted to fit in a slot in a keyboard tray coupled to a stand, the stand is to support the housing in a substantially upright position."

(Emphasis added)

Motoyama does not teach a computer system having a wireless telephone handset. Ledbetter teaches a computer system that includes a handset, a camera, a monitor and a keyboard. The monitor is attached to a stand. The handset is integrated into the stand. (Figures 2A and 3A). Ledbetter, however, does not teach having a wireless telephone handset adapted to fit in a slot in a keyboard tray coupled to the stand, as claimed in claim 27.

Applicants submit that the 103(a) rejection has been overcome, and claim 27 is patentable over Motoyama in view of Ledbetter. Since claims 28-32

Assignee: Intel Corporation

depend from and further limit claim 27, they are also patentable over Motoyama in view of Ledbetter.

Claim 33 recites in part:

"a housing including a display, a processor coupled to the display, a Bluetooth communication interface, and a wireless local area network (WLAN) communication interface;
a handle coupled to the housing, the handle is to include an antenna to be used for wireless communication using the WLAN communication interface;
and

a keyboard tray coupled to the housing, the keyboard tray is to include a keyboard and is coupled to a stand, wherein the stand is foldable relative to the housing and is to support the housing in a generally upright position."

(Emphasis added)

Applicants submit that neither Motoyama nor Ledbetter teaches a computer system or an apparatus having a handle that is to include an antenna to be used for wireless communication, as claimed in claim 33. Applicants submit that claim 33 is patentable over Motoyama in view of Ledbetter.

Since claim 34-37 depend from and further limit claim 33, they are also patentable over Motoyama in view of Ledbetter.

Claim 38 recites in part:

"a frame to support a housing in an upright position;
a tray partially enclosed by the frame and slide-able into or out of the frame,
wherein the tray is to include a wireless keyboard ;
a wireless remote control adapted to rest on the tray to a first side of the

P17700

Serial No. 10/663,169
PAGE 13/14 * RCVD AT 12/9/2005 12:13:39 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:4082744778 * DURATION (mm:ss):04:00

Assignee: Intel Corporation

wireless keyboard; and

a wireless telephone handset adapted to rest on the tray to a second side of the
wireless keyboard.”

(Emphasis added).

Applicants submit that neither Motoyama nor Ledbetter teaches having a tray that includes a wireless keyboard, a wireless remote control adapted to rest on the tray, and a wireless telephone handset adapted to rest on the tray, as claimed in claim 38. Applicants submit that claim 38 is patentable over Motoyama in view of Ledbetter.


Since claim 39-40 depend from and further limit claim 38, they are also patentable over Motoyama in view of Ledbetter.

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call David Tran at (916) 377-3578.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0221 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,



David N. Tran
Attorney of Record for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 50,804
Direct Phone No. (916) 377-3578

Date: December 8, 2005